

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summany	09/932,105		VAN DER MEULE SIERD	N, PIETER
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Timothy R. Ne		2623	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the co	er sheet with t	he correspondence ad	aress
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS ( 36(a). In no event, he will apply and will exp	COMMUNICA owever, may a reply ire SIX (6) MONTHS n to become ABANI	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this co DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	<u>ugust 2001</u> .			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-		and the second of the	orito io
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar				e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	=x parte Quayi	5, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 455 O.G. 215.	·
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consid			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 August 2001</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊡ accepte drawing(s) be h ction is required i	eld in abeyance f the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been r nts have been r prity document nu (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in App s have been re 7.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this Nationa	I Stage
2) Not	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) irmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ier No(s)/Mail Date 8/17/2001, 1/21/2003	4) 5) 6)	Paper No(s)/ Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 18 is proper and is therefore not formally objected to. However, as written it is a duplicate of claim 1. For purposes of examination, it has been treated as if it depended from claim 15. It is rejected below in either case, but the matter is noted for the applicant's benefit.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomas et al., US 2005/0198063, in view of Naughton et al., US 6,020,881.
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Thomas discloses an information processing system, comprising:

a camera for capturing an image of controllable equipment [camera 514, Fig. 5A, para. 56];

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

a server coupled to the camera and to a data network [home monitor server 112, Fig. 1]; and

an apparatus for receiving the image from the server via the network [computer 108, Fig.1] and comprising:

a display monitor for displaying the image [computer 108 displays GUI 1400, Fig. 14].

While Thomas does feature images of home appliances and graphical control of home appliances, the interface does not actually associate the command with the object image itself. Naughton does teach a control interface that associates an image with a command for control of the controllable equipment upon a user-interaction with the control interface. In Naughton, the user can select and manipulate objects via their respective images on a touch-screen display [col. 11, 7-15]. The user can view a graphical representation of a room and the devices therein, and may control a pictured remote device by interacting with the display image, [col. 22, 5-30; col. 24, 60-67]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the GUI methods of Naughton could be integrated into a camera-based control system of Thomas, because a webcam image conveys the exact appearance and devices within a room rather than merely a generic representation. One would be motivated to modify Thomas with Naughton, essentially combining Thomas's camera image display 1400 with the control panel 1500 [Figs. 14 and 15], to reduce the number of interfaces that the user must navigate.

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Naughton discloses a system wherein the control interface associates respective regions of the image with respective commands for control of the controllable equipment upon the user-interaction with the respective region [regions of the image that contain controllable objects are distinguished by bright color and a black outline, col. 11, 34-45; objects may be selected and issued commands by pointing at their image on the screen, col. 12, 18-35].
- 6. Regarding claim 3, Naughton discloses a system wherein the control interface comprises a touch screen [col. 15, 50-65; col. 24, 62-67].
- 7. Regarding claims 4 and 19, Naughton discloses a system wherein the control interface comprises a computer mouse **[col. 15, 55-57]**.
- 8. Regarding claims 5 and 20, Thomas discloses system wherein the control interface comprises controls for controlling the camera [Figs. 14 and 17].
- 9. Regarding claim 6, Thomas discloses a system wherein the equipment is controllable via the server [paras. 48 and 51-53, Fig. 14].
- 10. Regarding claim 7, Naughton discloses a system wherein the apparatus comprises software for configuring the associating of the respective regions with the respective commands [e.g., col. 24, 43-67; col. 25, 43-58].

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

11. Regarding claim 8, Thomas discloses a method for enabling a user to remotely control equipment, the method comprising:

capturing an image of the equipment via a camera [camera 514, Fig. 5A, para.
56] coupled to a server [home monitor server 112, Fig. 1];

retrieving the image from the server via a data network [paras. 48 and 49]; and displaying the image on a display monitor [computer 108 displays GUI 1400,

Fig. 14].

While Thomas does feature images of home appliances and graphical control of home appliances, the interface does not actually associate the command with the object image itself. Naughton does teach a control interface that associates an image with a command for control of the controllable equipment upon a user-interaction with the control interface. In Naughton, the user can select and manipulate objects via their respective images on a touch-screen display [col. 11, 7-15]. The user can view a graphical representation of a room and the devices therein, and may control a pictured remote device by interacting with the display image, [col. 22, 5-30; col. 24, 60-67]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the GUI methods of Naughton could be integrated into a camera-based control system of Thomas, because a webcam image conveys the exact appearance and devices within a room rather than merely a generic representation. One would be motivated to modify Thomas with Naughton, essentially combining Thomas's camera image display 1400 with the control

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

panel 1500 [Figs. 14 and 15], to reduce the number of interfaces that the user must navigate.

12. Regarding claim 9, Naughton discloses a method further comprising the steps of: enabling the user to control a plurality of controllable entities of the equipment [col. 24, 53-67];

associating a respective region of the image with a respective command for control of a respective one of the plurality of controllable entities [objects may be selected and issued commands by pointing at their image on the screen, col. 12, 18-35]; and

enabling the user to interact with the respective region for effecting the control of the respective one of the plurality of controllable entities [regions of the image that contain controllable objects are distinguished by bright color and a black outline, col. 11, 34-45].

13. Regarding claim 10, Thompson discloses a remote control system for remotely controlling equipment, the device comprising:

a camera for capturing an image of the equipment [camera 514, Fig. 5A, para. 56];

a data input for receiving, via a network, data representing the image of the equipment [computer 108, Fig.1 receives image data from the internet, paras. 47-49]; and

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

a display monitor for display of the image [computer 108 displays GUI 1400, Fig. 14].

While Thomas does feature images of home appliances and graphical control of home appliances, the interface does not actually associate the command with the object image itself. Naughton does teach a control interface that associates an image with a command for control of the controllable equipment upon a user-interaction with the control interface. In Naughton, the user can select and manipulate objects via their respective images on a touch-screen display [col. 11, 7-15]. The user can view a graphical representation of a room and the devices therein, and may control a pictured remote device by interacting with the display image, [col. 22, 5-30; col. 24, 60-67]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the GUI methods of Naughton could be integrated into a camera-based control system of Thomas, because a webcam image conveys the exact appearance and devices within a room rather than merely a generic representation. One would be motivated to modify Thomas with Naughton, essentially combining Thomas's camera image display 1400 with the control panel 1500 [Figs. 14 and 15], to reduce the number of interfaces that the user must navigate.

14. Regarding claims 11 and 17, Thomas discloses a system wherein the network is the Internet [paras. 47 and 48].

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

- 15. Regarding claim 12, Thomas discloses a system wherein a Personal Digital
  Assistant having a wireless modem includes the data input, display monitor, and control interface for wirelessly communicating with a server via the network [para. 70].
- 16. Regarding claim 13, Thomas discloses a system wherein a control network is coupled between the server and the equipment [control network can be implemented via IR, UV, radio, or wire communication, para. 91].
- 17. Regarding claim 14, Naughton discloses a system further comprising software for associating a region of the image with a command for control of the equipment upon the user-interaction with the control interface [e.g., col. 24, 43-67; col. 25, 43-58].
- 18. Regarding claim 15, Thomas discloses a remote control device for remotely controlling an apparatus, the device comprising:

a transmitted video image transmitted to the control device [e.g., Fig. 14];

a control interface for associating an representative image of the apparatus with a command for control of the apparatus via a control network upon a user-interaction with the control interface [cols. 24-25, lines 25-58; cols..25-30].

While Thomas does feature images of home appliances and graphical control of home appliances, the interface does not actually associate the command with the object image itself. Naughton does teach a control interface for associating an representative image of the apparatus with a command for control of the apparatus via a control

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

network upon a user-interaction with the control interface [cols. 24-25, lines 25-58; cols..25-30]. In Naughton, the user can select and manipulate objects via their respective images on a touch-screen display [col. 11, 7-15]. The user can view a graphical representation of a room and the devices therein, and may control a pictured remote device by interacting with the display image, [col. 22, 5-30; col. 24, 60-67]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the GUI methods of Naughton could be integrated into a camera-based control system of Thomas, because a webcam image conveys the exact appearance and devices within a room rather than merely a generic representation. One would be motivated to modify Thomas with Naughton, essentially combining Thomas's camera image display 1400 with the control panel 1500 [Figs. 14 and 15], to reduce the number of interfaces that the user must navigate.

- 19. Regarding claim 16, Thomas, discloses a device wherein the video image of the apparatus is obtained by a video camera and transmitted to the control interface via network [Fig. 5A, para. 55] coupled to the control network [control network can be implemented via IR, UV, radio, or wire communication, para. 91].
- 20. Regarding claim 18, Naughton discloses a device of claim 1 (or 15), wherein the control interface comprises a touch screen [col. 15, 50-65; col. 24, 62-67].

09/932,105 Art Unit: 2623

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy R. Newlin whose telephone number is (571) 270-3015. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**TRN** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

01	PK	1										
JAN 2 1	2003	5	·····		,•		Andiestics Number		00/022	405		
E A	, <b>o</b>	Sheutul	te for form	1449A	PTO		Application Number		09/932,	<del></del>	$\dashv$	
IN S	FÖF	RMA	TION D	ISCL	osu	IRE						
S.	TAT	EME	NT BY	APP	LICA	NT	First Named Invento	or		Sierd Van Der Meulen		
	(us	as ma	ny sheets	as пес	essary)		Group Art Unit		2611			
	- 1			<del>.</del>			Examiner Name		Paula M			
Sheet			1	of		2	Attorney Docket Num	ber	US01 8	126		
	,					U.S. PAT	ENT DOCUMENTS	<del></del>		T		
Examiner*	Cite No.	V.S. Number	Patent Doca Kind	Code <sup>2</sup>	•		tentee or Applicant		Date of Publication M-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages of Relevant Figures Appear	,	
muais	U.	5410		known) A	STEV	EN W. GOLE			1-25-1995	resevant rigures Appear		
	S						<del></del>					
									<del></del>	RECEIVE		
										JAN 2 8 20	103	
	ļ							- -		Technology Cente	r 260	
	-					<del></del>		- -		rociniology cente	200	
		<u> </u>										
					1	FOREIGN P	ATENT DOCUMENT	rs				
Examiner	Cite		reign Paten	t Docum	ent	Na	ime of Patentee or		Date of Publication	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or		
Initials	No.1	Dinice Hamber (in )		known) <sup>5</sup>	<u></u>			M-DD-YYYY 3-07-1995	Relevant Figures Appear	Τ°		
	E		-0 000			CANONIA						
					NON	PATENT L	ITERATURE DOCUM	MENT	rs			
Examiner*	Cite	Inch	ide name o	f the auti	nor (in C	APITAL LETTER	S), title of the article (when an	propria	te), title of the	item (book, magazine, journal,	TO	
Initials	No.		serial, sym	nposium,	catalog.	etc.), date, page	(s), volume-Issue number(s),	publish	er, city and/or	country where published.		
		-						· · · · · ·			ᅵ	
		-									一	
		<u></u>										
						1.				1 -		
Examiner	-			/	<i></i>			Date	T	11/20		
Signature	1	/.	لت		4			Con	sidered	1/6/08		

<sup>\*</sup> EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup> See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. <sup>3</sup> Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>4</sup> For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>5</sup> Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as Indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. <sup>6</sup> Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

orm PTO-1449	•	U.S. DEPAR PATENT AND	TMENT OF COMI TRADEMARK O	MERCE FFICE	USOISIA	1	SERIAL NO. Unassigned	ota S	
• •	STA	FORMATION DISCLOSURE ATEMENT BY APPLICANT Veral sheets if necess	arv)		APPLICANT Pieter van Der Meu	1601 leulen			
	(056 254				FILING DATE		GROUP ART UNIT	600	= ŏ
<del>,</del>				I S. PA	TENT DOCUMENTS				
XAMINER NITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING D IF APPROPE	ATE RIATE
TRN		6,020,881	2/1/00	Naugh	nton et al.				
		5,995,106	11/30/99	Naugh	nton et al.				
		5,886,697	3/23/99	Naugl	nton et al.				
		5,524,195	6/4/96	Clen	ton, III et al.	_		<u></u>	
V		5,745,710	4/28/98	Clan	ton, III et al.				
							·		
			<u> </u>	<u>.l</u>					
			0	FORE I GN	PATENT DOCUMENTS		SUBCLASS	TRANSL	ATION
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		COUNTRY	CLASS	SOBCLASS	YES	NO
~		/				A1			
IFN		VEP 0 770 965	1997	EPO	1	A2			
TKN		<b>L</b> ÉP 0 909 070	1999	+==	·				
		OTHER PRIOR	ART (Includ	ding Au	thor, Title, Date, Per	tinent Pages	, Etc.)		
	Ţ	T	<del></del>	:	-			,	
	ļ			. <del></del> -					
ſ				<u> </u>	·		· 		
		·							
EXAMINER	<u></u>				DATE CONSIDERE	/			
	1	4			1/6,	08		<u> </u>	
EXAMIN	FR: In	itial if reference co	nsidered, wh	ether	or not citation is in conclude copy of this for	onformance	with MPEP 609.	raw line the applicant.	rough
EVALLE	un. 111	t in conformance and	not consider	ed. II	nclude copy of this for	משונה next	COMMUNICACION		

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/932,105 Examiner Timothy R. Newlin Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination VAN DER MEULEN, PIETER S Page 1 of 1

### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-2005/0198063	09-2005	Thomas et al.	707/102
*	В	US-6,010,881	01-2000	Black et al.	435/69.3
*	С	US-2003/0009537	01-2003	Wang, Dongyan	709/219
*	D	US-6,618,754	09-2003	Gosling, James A.	709/220
*		US-2001/0034754	10-2001	Elwahab et al.	709/201
*	F	US-2002/0141657	10-2002	Novak, Robert	382/282
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	. М	US-			

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N		·			
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					<u> </u>

#### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

		NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	х	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Bldg/Room\_ Organization Bldg/Rc United States Patent and Trademark Office If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 P.O. Box 1450

OFFICIAL BUSINESS HALNAD HAW OLD AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

SECULIAR DE





